

## Base AusAID NGO Accreditation Criteria Table – May 2008

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<b>A ANGO IDENTITY AND STRUCTURE</b>		
<p><b>A1</b></p> <p><b>ANGO is voluntary, not-for-profit and non-government.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to establish that the ANGO's Governing Body and membership do not profit from the ANGO's assets, that members are not remunerated for their services on the Governing Body, and that the ANGO's Governing Body is independent of government. These operating principles are ideally documented in the ANGO's governing documents.</i></p>	<p><b>VOLUNTARY:</b> Governing Body is drawn from the organisation's constituency and members are not remunerated for their services on the Governing Body.</p> <p><b>NOT-FOR-PROFIT:</b> Funds should be applied solely to the achievement of the Objects. Surplus funds cannot be distributed to members/shareholders.</p> <p><b>NON-GOVERNMENT:</b> Not formally part of any Government funded institution or department. Its governance is independent from any Government institution with which it is affiliated. No Government institution or department can appoint the majority of the Board.</p>	<p>Clause in Constitution, Memorandum Articles of Association or Trust Deed.</p> <p>Clause in Constitution, or Memorandum and Articles which specifically indicates that surplus funds cannot be distributed to members/shareholders.</p> <p>Clause on winding up of organisation.</p> <p>Policy on election or appointment of members to the Governing Body.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>A2</b></p> <p><b>ANGO is a legal entity, with identified office holders, with a documented structure of responsibilities and appropriate systems to ensure accountability, including to its supporters.</b></p> <p>NB. No specific number of members is required to meet this criterion.</p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand the legal structure of the ANGO and the functions and accountability of its Governing Body. The process by which the Governing Body is elected or appointed should be transparent, and the ANGO should be governed in an accountable fashion. These operating imperatives should be documented in the ANGO's governing instrument. Where an ANGO is part of an international network, this criterion seeks to establish the level of the Australian ANGO's independence, and accountability to its Australian membership or constituency.</i></p>	<p>Legal structure could vary from: an Incorporated Association to a Company Limited by Guarantee or it may operate under a Trust Deed from a legally recognised entity; or be constituted under an Act of Parliament etc.</p> <p>Record of responsibilities of the Governing Body and its members.</p> <p>There must be a clear separation of duties between Board, management and staff functions. The relationship between the Governing Body and Management could vary from: a total and formal separation; to a structure with some duplication of roles in very small agencies. At a minimum there should be documented decision making procedures and role descriptions with delineation of responsibilities between governance, management and staff or volunteers. Where multiple family members are involved there must be clear delineation of roles, role descriptions and decision making procedures.</p> <p>The Objectives outlined in the Constitution, Articles, Trust Deed or Strategic Plan approved by the Governing Body specifically includes reference to development/aid activities in developing countries.</p> <p>Evidence of accountability checks and balances.</p>	<p>Certificate of Incorporation, Constitution, Memorandum and Articles of Association, Trust Deed or Appropriate Act of Parliament, ACN, ABN, or IA number.</p> <p>List of Governing Body members and office holders with names, occupations, and length of service.</p> <p>Clauses in Constitution outlining election or appointment process of governing body and office holders.</p> <p>Clauses in Constitution outlining the roles of office holders.</p> <p>If multiple family members involved must have clear job descriptions and decision making procedures with examples of how this has been applied in a transparent and accountable manner. ie no two family members should be bank signatories or be solely responsible for decision making.</p> <p>Minutes of Governing Body meetings demonstrating decision making</p>

	<p>ANGO has procedures to reply to specific requests from its constituency regarding decisions of the Governing Body, and to manage Conflict of Interest consistent with the ACFID Code of Conduct.</p> <p>Mechanisms for members to raise issues at governing body level and evidence that ANGO has made those mechanisms known.</p>	<p>processes and member involvement. Minutes of AGM, copy of audited financial statements, Annual Report.</p> <p>Clause in Constitution or specific policy to deal with conflict of interest. Evidence such as meeting minutes that appropriate procedures have been followed if conflict of interest has arisen</p>
--	---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>A3</b></p> <p><b>ANGO has formally adopted and is compliant with the ACFID Code of Conduct for Non-Government Development Organisations.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to establish that the ANGO is committed to and is operating within current, good practice, sector guidelines.</i></p>	<p>Ratification of the Code by the Board.</p> <p>Staff and governing body members are familiar with the Code of Conduct.</p>	<p>Date of formal adoption/ ratification by the Board. Minutes of relevant governing body meeting.</p> <p>Discussions with governing body members and staff</p> <p>Notification from ACFID that ANGO is a compliant signatory to the ACFID Code of Conduct.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<b>B DEVELOPMENT PHILOSOPHIES AND MANAGEMENT PRACTICES</b>		
<p><b>B1</b></p> <p><b>ANGO has a demonstrated record of undertaking aid projects consistent with the objectives of the Australian Aid Program of poverty alleviation and sustainable development.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to establish that the ANGO has implemented activities over at least the last two years which have achieved demonstrable development outcomes. The ANGO must demonstrate that it understands the objectives of the Australian Aid Program, in particular poverty alleviation and sustainable development, and demonstrate similar objectives in their projects.</i></p>	<p>Understanding by staff of the objectives of the Australian aid program.</p> <p>Demonstrated track record over two years of successfully managing activities or projects, which have achieved demonstrable development outcomes. This may include experience in managing own funding or AusAID funds.</p> <p>Demonstrated understanding and application of the project cycle.</p>	<p>Discussion with staff demonstrating familiarity with AusAID, its objectives and its procedures.</p> <p>Examples of project proposals, designs and budgets.</p> <p>Analysis of project files such as progress reports, monitoring reports, project completion reports and evaluation reports.</p> <p>Discussions with staff and evidence in project files that project management has involved the various stages of the project cycle such as needs analysis, project design, monitoring and evaluation and that the ANGO contributes in some way to these processes.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>B2</b></p> <p><b>ANGO has development philosophies not inconsistent with the objectives of the Australian Aid Program, and is able to differentiate between objectives of:</b></p> <p><b>a) Development and Welfare</b>  <b>b) Development and Evangelism</b>  <b>c) Development and Partisan Politics.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand the ANGO's development philosophies and practices. It seeks to establish that the ANGO understands AusAID's development principles and gives due consideration to cross-cutting issues such as gender, environment and human rights. It seeks to confirm that designated development funds, i.e. all funds included in RDE calculations, are used specifically for development outcomes and not for evangelical, welfare or partisan political purposes.</i></p>	<p>ANGO's development philosophies and practices show an awareness of current development practice including cross cutting issues such as gender, environment and human rights.</p> <p>ANGO applies AusAID's Guiding Principles for the Environment and other AusAID policies as appropriate.</p> <p>Where an ANGO engages in welfare, evangelistic or partisan political activities, it is able to differentiate these from its development activities in a philosophical and operational sense. This must include the delineation of funds raised and expended.</p>	<p>Mission, Vision and Objectives of the ANGO and objectives of its projects.</p> <p>Discussions with staff demonstrating familiarity with current development practice, relevant tertiary study by staff, involvement with ACFID networks and trainings etc.</p> <p>Evidence of consideration of cross cutting issues such as gender, environment, human rights.</p> <p>Application of crosscutting policies in partner agreements, project documentation and publications.</p> <p>Discussion with staff.</p> <p>Policies or guidelines, approved by the Governing Body that indicate how the ANGO differentiates between development and welfare, evangelism partisan politics.</p> <p>Examples of communications between the ANGO and its constituency discussing or confirming its practices in relation to welfare, evangelism or partisan politics.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>B3</b></p> <p><b>The ANGO has the capacity to deliver its project objectives in a way that meets its contractual obligations to AusAID.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand the role of the ANGO throughout the project cycle to establish that it exercises adequate influence and control with its organisations and implementing partners to:</i></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li><i>i) add value to the development process and</i></li> <li><i>ii) Manage risk.</i></li> </ul> <p><b>NB: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT IS COVERED IN CRITERION E.</b></p>	<p>Evidence that ANGO has the capacity to add value to the development process.</p> <p>ANGO appraises all activities against good development standards.</p> <p>ANGO has the capacity to assess and manage risk.</p> <p>Agency can influence/intervene at any stage of the project cycle.</p> <p>ANGO can demonstrate compliance with AusAID guidelines on terrorism and child protection.</p>	<p>Appropriate staffing level relative to the size of the ANGO's development program.</p> <p>Agreements/MOUs with partners outlining the ANGO's role in projects. Examples of ANGO involvement in projects as documented in emails, progress reports or monitoring reports.</p> <p>Evidence of regular information flow between implementing partner and ANGO. Project files demonstrating ongoing communication between the field and ANGO.</p> <p>Evidence of analysis and judgement by the ANGO in monitoring reports.</p> <p>Documented partnership arrangements with implementing partners reflective of ANGO's contractual obligations to AusAID ie the Head Agreement.</p> <p>ANGO policies or documented practice such as risk management framework.</p> <p>Minutes of meeting where decisions on project management are made.</p>

		<p>Written policies and clauses in partnership agreements covering counter-terrorism and child protection obligations, including immediate notification if required.</p> <p>Evidence that the strategies identified in the Counter Terrorism Policy are being followed.</p> <p>Evidence that the DFAT and Attorney-General's National Security websites are checked and considered regularly.</p> <p>Evidence of appropriate checks in relation to employment/contracting of individuals.</p>
--	--	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>B4</b></p> <p><b>ANGO can monitor, report and rate effectiveness of activities.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand the monitoring, reporting and evaluation systems used by the ANGO and its partners.</i></p>	<p>Regular monitoring of activities is undertaken. Where the ANGO has delegated responsibility for field visits to another body, the ANGO can demonstrate its ability to assess the progress of the activities in the absence of such visits.</p> <p>The ANGO analyses project information to assess progress or constraints.</p> <p>A system is in place which produces informative and timely reports between the implementing partner, the ANGO and AusAID.</p> <p>Ability to distinguish between monitoring and evaluation</p> <p>ANGO is conducting evaluations of activities.</p>	<p>Monitoring and reporting guidelines in ANGO operating manual. These guidelines are reflected in project agreements with implementing partners.</p> <p>Evidence in project files of consistent application of monitoring guidelines, e.g. progress reports, field trip reports, monitoring reports,</p> <p>Documented evidence of other avenues of monitoring such as records of communication with implementing partners via email or phone.</p> <p>Analysis by ANGO of project progress including some assessment of activities at the outcome level.</p> <p>Review of monitoring reports on file.</p> <p>Discussion with staff.</p> <p>Evaluation guidelines in ANGO operations manual. Schedule for future evaluations of projects.</p> <p>Review of available evaluation reports.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>B5 ANGO has systems for continuous improvement of its management and operations.</b></p> <p><b>THIS CRITERION NOT APPLICABLE TO BASE AGENCIES</b></p>		

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>C. APPROACHES TO PARTNERSHIP AND DEVELOPMENT COLLABORATION</b></p>		
<p><b>C1</b></p> <p><b>ANGO has documented arrangements with implementing partners in countries where it works and/or with global partner's networks or affiliates as appropriate.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion focuses on the documented, contractual framework in place to manage partnerships and projects. It seeks to establish that the ANGO has formal arrangements with partners which cover all aspects of the Head Agreement contract with AusAID and that these arrangements are understood and accepted by partners.</i></p>	<p>ANGO must have documented arrangements with implementing organisations covering all aspects of the Head Agreement contract with AusAID.</p> <p>ANGO must demonstrate that it maintains contact with local or national <i>in-country</i> organisations.</p>	<p>Documented arrangements e.g. MOUs, contract or formal partnership agreement with partners, international partners, alliances or affiliates, which include proposed budget and use of funds.</p> <p>Evidence of partners' understanding and acceptance of documented arrangements, i.e. communication about content and application of agreement.</p> <p>Procedural guidelines in ANGO's operations manual for managing the relationship.</p> <p>Minutes of meetings with international partners, alliances or affiliates.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>C2</b></p> <p><b>ANGO has assessed its own capacity and the capacity of its partner organisation to develop and implement projects.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to establish that the ANGO assesses its own capacity and the capacity of its partners and that projects/programs are designed and implemented relative to this capacity, or alternatively that capacity is strengthened if needed.</i></p> <p>NB: this criterion does not require agencies to limit their partnerships to agencies of any specified capacity.</p>	<p>ANGO has a process to assess its own capacity on an ongoing basis.</p> <p>The ANGO has a process to assess the capacity of its implementing partners.</p> <p>ANGO can demonstrate that it bases its project design and implementation on its own and its partner's capacity.</p>	<p>Evidence of internal organisational assessment such as a report from an review of the ANGO's own capacity, minutes of planning meetings.</p> <p>Guidelines in operations manual to assess capacity of implementing partner such as a checklist or guiding principles.</p> <p>Completed checklist or another form of documentation such as a file note or minutes of meeting, describing the capacity of implementing partners.</p> <p>Evidence such as minutes of meetings, correspondence to and from field, appraisal reports, etc, where a decision was made <i>not</i> to pursue a particular activity with a particular partner as partner judged to have insufficient capacity or because the ANGO did not have the capacity.</p> <p>Evidence where decision was made to fund partner and provide capacity building to address areas of capacity weakness. Details of capacity building activities undertaken with partners.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>C3</b></p> <p><b>ANGO's partnerships are consistent with good development practice.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand the nature and tone of partnerships and relationships between the ANGO and partners and between partners and beneficiaries. It seeks evidence that partnerships reflect good development practice ie. equality, mutual respect and learning, self reliance, transparency, etc.</i></p>	<p>Evidence of a relationship which is characterised by equality, mutual respect, mutual learning, capacity building, honesty, transparency and self reliance.</p> <p>Opportunities for mutual influence by the ANGO and the implementing partners on projects.</p>	<p>Discussions with staff.</p> <p>Documented examples of communications such as emails, letters, field trip reports and meeting minutes, showing the nature of the partnership and respective roles of the ANGO and the implementing partner.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<b>D. LINKAGES WITH THE AUSTRALIAN COMMUNITY</b>		
<p><b>D1</b></p> <p><b>ANGO is clearly identifiable as Australian and can demonstrate interaction with an Australian community base.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand: how the ANGO ensures Australian identity in its projects and promotions; how the ANGO acknowledges the source of contributions to the projects it supports and how the ANGO engages with its Australian constituency.</i></p>	<p>ANGO has procedures in place to achieve an appropriate level of Australian identity in its activities in the field.</p> <p>Australian identity of the ANGO is clear to its supporters and donors.</p> <p>International parent organisations and/or implementing partners and recipients are aware of the Australian source of funding.</p> <p>Australian community base is well informed of ANGOS activities and able to communicate with the ANGO to raise issues or concerns.</p>	<p>'Australia' in title of organisation.</p> <p>Australian identity of the ANGO is made clear to supporters and donors in newsletters, appeal letters, etc.</p> <p>Copies of communications with implementing partners acknowledging Australian support and identity.</p> <p>Newsletters and other promotional material or communications with constituency.</p> <p>Evidence of access by supporters to copies of governing body decisions, AGM minutes etc.</p> <p>Evidence, such as emails or letters, of ANGO response to requests for information from supporters or discussion about their concerns.</p> <p>Evidence such as newsletters or promotional material that ANGO encourages new supporters.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>D2</b></p> <p><b>ANGO provides accurate information about the organisation, its objectives and its activities in its public presentations, in a manner that respects the dignity of recipient communities.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to ensure that promotional material respects the dignity, values, history, religion and culture of people, consistent with the ACFID Code of Conduct. It seeks to find consistency between projects and promotional material, and a clear differentiation between the ANGO and its implementing partners.</i></p>	<p>Consistency between project activity and promotional material.</p> <p>Publicity materials of the ANGO show due respect to the dignity, values, history, religion and culture of the people with whom it works, consistent with the ACFID Code of Conduct.</p>	<p>Comparison of project reports and Annual Report and promotional material such as newsletters.</p> <p>Examples of promotional and educational documentation of the ANGO.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>D3</b></p> <p><b>ANGO maintains Australian community support for its development projects through financial, in-kind and/or voluntary contributions.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to understand how the ANGO maintains its community support (monetary, in-kind and volunteer) for development activities.</i></p>	<p>Contribution of volunteers to ANGO's work.</p> <p>Staff and/or volunteer time devoted to promotional, fundraising and development education activities.</p> <p>Ongoing fundraising and promotional initiatives.</p>	<p>Diaries, logbooks or similar system for recording volunteer contributions.</p> <p>Policy covering utilisation of volunteers.</p> <p>Record of a planning process which includes ongoing fundraising and promotional initiatives.</p> <p>Examples of successful and planned fundraising initiatives.</p> <p>Promotional materials such as newsletters or events brochures.</p>

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p>D4 ANGO has a plan to increase constituency awareness of, and involvement in, contemporary development and/or relief issues.</p> <p><b>THIS CRITERION NOT APPLICABLE TO BASE AGENCIES</b></p>		

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<b>FINANCIAL SYSTEMS &amp; RISK MANAGEMENT</b>		
<p><b>E1</b></p> <p><b>ANGO has effective management, administration and financial systems for accounting for funding, including systems to ensure the presence and implementation of adequate controls in partner organisations' accounting and management systems.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks evidence of satisfactory application of policies, systems and processes for accounting for funding by the ANGO in Australia and by its partners/implementing organisations in the field.</i></p>	<p>Documented policies, systems and processes for accounting for funding including for:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• establishment of budgets, their basis and authorisation</li> <li>• project ledgers of accounting</li> <li>• procurement of goods and services</li> <li>• cash management and allocation of interest income</li> <li>• progress reporting</li> <li>• exchange rate gain and loss</li> <li>• acquittal consolidation and reporting</li> <li>• handling unspent funds</li> <li>• activity management</li> <li>• asset management</li> <li>• authorisation by ANGO and confirmation from partner for overseas transfers of funds</li> </ul>	<p>Files showing evidence of satisfactory application of policies, systems and processes for accounting for funding.</p> <p>Balance sheet (assets, liabilities and equity) and profit and loss (revenue, expenditure and surplus) statements.</p> <p>Table of contents of Operations Manual and inspection of specific extracts.</p> <p>Examination of project files and discrete ledger accounts.</p> <p>Audit management letters and responses.</p>

	<p>Audited financial statements complying with the various accounting standards, Urgent Issues committee's pronouncements and ACFID requirements.</p> <p>Financial systems controlling general ledger and project ledgers:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• audit trails in place</li> <li>• supporting documentation referenced</li> <li>• project status report</li> <li>• currency conversion rates for budget and actual expenditures</li> </ul> <p>Documented agreements with delivery organisations for the management and accountability of funds.</p> <p>Financial assessment undertaken during monitoring trips</p>	<p>Agreements/EOL with partners.</p> <p>Partners' policies and procedures for accounting for funding.</p> <p>Partnership agreement or other relevant documentation identifying capacities and roles of each overseas partner agency in financial operations.</p> <p>Project acquittals from partners/ delivery organisations.</p> <p>Verify currency conversion practises of partners.</p> <p>Project files</p>
<b>CRITERION</b>	<b>EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS</b>	<b>EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS</b>
<p><b>E2 ANGO and its overseas partners and its international affiliates have a capacity and commitment to undertake activities in a professionally competent manner, especially with regard to financial operations.</b></p> <p><b>THIS CRITERION NOT APPLICABLE TO BASE AGENCIES</b></p>		

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>E3 ANGO utilises systems to assess and manage financial risk which is appropriate to the level of expenditure.</b></p> <p><b>THIS CRITERION NOT APPLICABLE TO BASE AGENCIES</b></p>		
CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>E4</b></p> <p><b>ANGO can raise contributions (a minimum \$50,000 RDE averaged over three years) from the Australian community in support of development activities.</b></p> <p>NB: RDE benchmarks relate to the previous financial year.</p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to verify that the ANGO: can raise its own funds from the community for development activities; differentiates funds for development, religious, welfare and partisan political activities in RDE calculations; and is not dependent on AusAID for staff salaries. One full time equivalent salary of a person (or two half time persons) fully engaged on overseas aid work should</i></p>	<p>Comparison of dollars raised from Australian community for overseas development activities, with dollars deployed for overseas development activities (including those for development education, but distinct from those raised for non-development activities and for welfare, evangelistic and partisan political activities).</p> <p>Value of services and goods in-kind donations, recorded within the ANGO's financial statements.</p> <p>Amount of time donated by volunteers either in Australia or overseas, recorded in the ANGO's financial statements.</p> <p>Compliance with the ACFID Code of Conduct Summary Financial Report template.</p>	<p>Working papers for calculation of RDE.</p> <p>Examination of RDE calculations and audited financial statements to demonstrate application of appropriate differentiation between development activities and welfare, evangelism and partisan politics.</p> <p>Compliance with RDE worksheet.</p> <p>RDE returns over the last three years.</p> <p>Financial records of income and disbursement against specific projects/programs, and reconciliations with the general ledger.</p> <p>Method for documenting and recording value of services and in-kind</p>

<p><i>be paid for from the ANGO's own funds.</i></p>		<p>donations, and volunteer time.</p> <p>Maintenance of timesheet records.</p> <p>Expenditure allocated as per ACFID definitions.</p> <p>Administration expenditure records.</p>
------------------------------------------------------	--	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CRITERION	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>E5</b></p> <p><b>ANGO complies with Australian legislation pertaining to fundraising and tax deductibility.</b></p> <p><i>This criterion seeks to establish if the ANGO is registered for fundraising purposes as a charitable/benevolent institution and has tax deductibility status if claimed publicly.</i></p>	<p>It is registered for fund raising purposes as a charitable/benevolent institution, except where a legal exemption can be shown.</p> <p>ANGO has tax deductibility status, if it claims it publicly, for an international project fund.</p>	<p>Letter with date of registration as charity/public benevolent institution.</p> <p>Letter or other legal document showing exemption.</p> <p>Letter or gazette notice from Taxation Department and/or AusAID list.</p> <p>ANGO promotional material claiming tax deductibility.</p> <p>ABN database search.</p>

For NGOs who intend to use Government funds in Family Planning/Reproductive Health activities		
ADDITIONAL CRITERIA	EXAMPLES OF INDICATORS	POSSIBLE VERIFIERS
<p><b>F1</b></p> <p><b>Agency has a documented policy that demonstrates an understanding of Government policies related to Family Planning/Reproductive Health issues.</b></p>	<p>Written policies and procedures which reflect AusAID's Guiding Principles</p>	<p>Policy ratified by the governing body, in ANGO's operations manual.</p> <p>Staff with relevant experience in Family Planning projects (eg CVs of staff involved).</p> <p>Copy of AusAID's Guiding Principles on file.</p>
<p><b>F2</b></p> <p><b>Agency can demonstrate it has the capacity to apply the Guiding Principles, which form the basis of the Government's policy for family planning activities in the overseas aid program, in all stages of the project cycle.</b></p>	<p>Documented evidence of application of relevant policies and procedures throughout the project cycle where applicable.</p>	<p>Operational procedures outlined in ANGOs operations manual.</p> <p>Discussions with Australian staff.</p> <p>Evidence from documented appraisal processes, progress reports, field monitoring reports and general communications to demonstrate how AusAID's Family Planning Guiding Principles are applied in project design and implementation.</p>
<p><b>F3</b></p> <p><b>Relevant AusAID proposals and reporting documents show that Agency meets Government Family Planning requirements.</b></p>	<p>Documented evidence that relevant issues have been addressed in the planning and implementation of family planning related activities.</p> <p>Interim reporting if needed (for problems experienced etc).</p>	<p>Documentation showing that relevant issues have been discussed with AusAID.</p>